## Effect of Lithium Ion on the Torsional Barrier in Amides

By K. GURUDATH RAO and EDWIN D. BECKER (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014)

and C. N. R. RAO\*

(Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Malleswaram, Bangalore 560012, India)

Summary <sup>1</sup>H N.m.r. studies show that the activation energy for internal rotation in [<sup>2</sup>H]-NN-dimethylformamide is increased by 3.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> on complexation with Li<sup>+</sup>.

THE nature of the binding of lithium cation to the peptide bond is of paramount significance in understanding the conformational changes in polypeptides and proteins brought about by lithium salts<sup>1,2</sup> and also possibly the therapeutic properties of these salts.<sup>3</sup> Specific binding of Li<sup>+</sup> to amides has been examined in some detail by vibrational and electronic spectroscopy.<sup>4,5</sup> These optical spectroscopic studies, as well as molecular orbital calculations,<sup>2,6,7</sup> indicate that Li<sup>+</sup> binds preferentially to the carbonyl oxygen of the amide, causing a decrease in the C=O bond order and increase in the C-N bond order. An important consequence of such bond order changes should be an increase in the barrier height to rotation about the C-N bond of amides. However, no satisfactory experimental evidence for a change in barrier height,  $E_a$ , due to interaction with Li<sup>+</sup> is available in the literature. Thus, some workers<sup>8</sup> have reported only coalescence temperatures,  $T_{c}$ , in n.m.r. spectra or free energy changes at  $T_c$ . The recent n.m.r. study' of the barrier height in the NN-dimethylformamide (DMF) + LiCl system unfortunately suffers from the fact that the  $E_a$  value found by the authors for the parent amide itself is far from the correct value. Furthermore, these measurements were made on DMF rather than [2H]DMF; presence of appreciable spin-coupling between the formyl proton and the Me protons<sup>9</sup> in DMF may vitiate the determination of  $E_a$ . In view of the importance of having reliable barrier heights to understand the nature of binding of Li<sup>+</sup> to the peptide bond, we have carried out a careful determination of barrier heights in [2H]DMF and in  $[^{2}H]DMF + LiCl$ , based on total line shape analysis of the n.m.r. spectra.

[<sup>2</sup>H]DMF was synthesized by the procedure of Neumann and Jonas.<sup>9</sup> The effect of Li<sup>+</sup> was examined by adding sufficient LiCl to neat [2H]DMF to make a 4M solution and warming to facilitate solvation. At this composition, the 1:4 Li<sup>+</sup>-amide complex<sup>4</sup> would be preponderant in solution. N.m.r. spectra were recorded using a Varian A-60 spectrometer fitted with a V-6040 variable temperature unit. Homogeneity was maximized at each temperature with respect to an internal dioxan reference. A sweep rate of 0.25 Hz s<sup>-1</sup> and a sweep width of 50 Hz were used. Temperatures, determined with an ethylene glycol standard and the calibration equation of Van Geet, 10 are estimated to be accurate to  $< \pm 1$  °C. Line shape analysis was carried out using the complete Gutowsky-Holm equations,<sup>11</sup> modified to account for unequal  $T_2$ 's.<sup>12</sup> The analysis consisted of digitizing the experimental spectra (ca. 40 points/Hz), curve-fitting employing the iterative program of Knott<sup>13</sup> (MLAB) on a PDP-10 computer, and finally making a visual comparison of experimental and theoretical line shapes on a visual display unit.† Arrhenius plots were also prepared by the MLAB program.

		[ <sup>2</sup> H]DMF	[ <sup>2</sup> H]DMF + LiCl
$E_{a}/kcal mol^{-1}$	• •	$21\cdot 6 \pm 0\cdot 2$	$25.0~\pm~0.5$
$T_{\rm c}^{\rm o}/{\rm ^{o}C}$		$125 \cdot 0$	137.6
$\Delta G^{\ddagger}(T_{c})/kcal mol^{-1}$	••	$21\cdot2~\pm~0\cdot1$	$21\cdot8\pm0\cdot1$
$\Delta S^{\ddagger}(T_c)/\text{cal } \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{mol}^{-1b}$	••	$-1.0 \pm 0.5$	$+5.8\pm1.3$
$\Delta v_{AB}/Hz$ (at 39 °C)	•••	9.85	12.15
$k(T_{c})/s^{-1}$	••	17.4	21.9

<sup>a</sup> The errors quoted represent only the probable errors in fitting data and do not reflect possibly larger systematic errors known to be inherent in n.m.r. studies of this type (ref. 17).  ${}^{\mathbf{b}} \Delta S^{\ddagger} = (E_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{R}T - \Delta G^{\ddagger})/T.$ 

We find the  $E_a$  value in pure [<sup>2</sup>H]DMF to be 21.6 kcal  $mol^{-1}$ .<sup>‡</sup> This value is close to that reported by Inglefield et al.<sup>14</sup> (20.8-21.6), Rabinowitz and Pines<sup>15</sup> (20.5), and Drakenberg et al.<sup>16</sup> (21.3). The value of Neumann and Jonas<sup>9</sup> (24.3) seems to be too high; however, the values of  $T_c$  and  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  at  $T_c$  found in our study are close to those reported by Neumann and Jonas. With added LiCl,  $E_a$ increases from 21.6 to 25.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (Table), an appreciable change that can almost certainly be ascribed to an enhancement of the barrier to rotation of the C-N bond on complexation of Li+. Such an increase in barrier has been predicted from optical spectra and M.O. calculations.

In a molecule such as DMF,  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  is expected to be near zero; the value found here  $(-1 \text{ cal } \text{K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})$  is zero within experimental error. On addition of LiCl,  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  increases to nearly 6 cal K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. Since  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  is known to be very sensitive to experimental errors, one must be cautious in interpreting changes of this magnitude,<sup>17</sup> but it seems likely that the increase is real and probably attributable to a reordering of ligands or solvent molecules as internal rotation occurs in the Li<sup>+</sup> complex.

We acknowledge the support of this research by the Special Foreign Currency Program, National Institutes of Health, and thank J. A. Ferretti for his help in analysing the data.

(Received, 7th February 1977; Com. 108.)

† In the curve-fitting process the values of  $T_2$  in the absence of exchange were taken to be 0.40 and 0.42 s, as found for the two methyl lines at 39 °C. The values for  $\Delta v$ , the chemical shift difference, were taken from the relation  $\Delta v = 10.26 - 0.0124 t$ , which represents a linear extrapolation of the values found at 39-90 °C, where exchange is negligible. We also carried out fits for [2H]DMF itself with  $\Delta v$  determined by the fitting programs at each temperature. [The reliability of these approaches has been examined for other amide systems (ref. 17)]. Although the values found for  $\tau$  with the latter method differed somewhat from those given here, the value of  $E_a$  was virtually unchanged.

 $\ddagger 1 \text{ kcal} = 4.18 \text{ kJ}.$ 

<sup>1</sup> (a) T. von Hippel and T. Schleich, 'Structure and Stability of Biological Macromolecules,' eds. S. N. Timasheff and G. D. Fasman, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969, Vol. 2, p. 417; H. Freeman, 'Bioinorganic Chemistry,' ed. G. L. Eichhorn, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1973, Vol. 1, Ch. 4; (b) D. Balasubramanian and B. C. Misra, 9th Jerusalem Symposium on 'Metal-Ligand Interactions in Biology,' Reidl, Dordrecht, Holland, 1976, in the press.

<sup>2</sup> C. N. R. Rao, as in ref. 1b

<sup>3</sup> D. Samuel, Endeavour, 1973, 32, 122.

<sup>4</sup> C. N. R. Rao, V. V. Bhujle, A. Goel, U. R. Bhat, and A. Paul, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1973, 161; C. N. R. Rao, H. S. Randhawa, N. V. R. Reddy, and D. Chakravorty, Spectrochim. Acta, 1975, **31A**, 1283. <sup>5</sup> C. N. R. Rao, K. G. Rao, and D. Balasubramanian, FEBS Letters, 1976, **46**, 192.

A. Gupta and C. N. R. Rao, J. Phys. Chem., 1973, 77, 2889; M. Perricaudet and A. Pullman, FEBS Letters, 1973, 34, 222.
B. M. Rode and R. Fussenegger, J.C.S. Faraday II, 1975, 1958.

<sup>6</sup> D. Balasubramanian, A. Goel, and C. N. R. Rao, *Chem. Phys. Letters*, 1972, 17, 482; D. Balasubramanian and B. C. Misra, *Biopolymers*, 1975, 14, 1019; W. Egan, T. E. Bull, and S. Forsen, *J.C.S. Chem. Comm.*, 1972, 1099.

R. C. Neuman and V. Jonas, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 92, 925.

<sup>10</sup> A. L. Van Geet, Analyt. Chem., 1968, 40, 2227.

<sup>11</sup> H. S. Gutowsky and Ć. H. Holm, J. Chem. Phys., 1956, 25, 1228.

<sup>12</sup> J. A. Ferretti and R. L. Jernigan, Macromolecules, 1973, 6, 687.

<sup>13</sup> G. Knott, Internal Documentation, DCRT, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, 1972; available upon request. <sup>14</sup> P. T. Inglefield, E. Krakower, L. W. Reeves, and R. Stewart, Mol. Phys., 1968, 15, 65.

<sup>15</sup> M. Rabinowitz and A. Pines, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 1585.
<sup>16</sup> T. Drakenberg, K. I. Dahlquist, and S. Forsen, J. Phys. Chem., 1972, 76, 2178.
<sup>17</sup> R. R. Shoup, M. L. McNeel, and E. D. Becker, J. Phys. Chem., 1972, 76, 71.